

[Analysis: "Costs" of US/HCN commitment on "umbrella" over NATO, vs. Alliance on NATO no force.

Cons: 1. Increased  
chance of  
conventional  
attack.

Love &  
ever love

NFO

Pro

2. General <sup>note</sup> concepts

### 3. Disintegration of NATO.

4. Loss of US dominance over allies.

1. Permits disinvestment: (permits action that "lowers creditability" of FC & RS theories!)

- foreign increases in NATO mem (N
- " " in strat. mem (Cru

- bilateral neovascular

(with or without "collegiate" sex  
conventional relations)

- ~~the~~ unilateral US relations

- agents to preserve independence of sea-hand forces.

2. Reduces incentive for either side to pre-empt in crisis (Ullman) or war.

(e.g. SU wanted to pre-empt us. NATO in Europe)

(or - seller fantasy? - to carry out CF strike vs. US

as response to (or, determine of) NATO EU in Europe;

or concerning (debtors intent?) US CF FS as response to,  
 debtors of, 50 <sup>(response)</sup> 2-sided exchange limited to Europe, or 50 FC/CF in Europe  
 (above)

3. ~~Intention~~ to NATO: to build up coalition on

hook - MBFR + disengagement.

1. Distracted
2. 3 sec. to all-out way.

2A: Increases fire-break: makes escalation from controlled to

are ~~are~~ less likely (late escalation by very likely: + "regime" FS  
at SW exp. to "man")

4. Pericard redeployed to non critical, reserve,  
US "storage" of most the res &

Latin, effect  
in attitudes, plans  
of US comrades

- vs. occident, theft, sabotage, terrorist raid, US  
capture!

vs. ~~proton~~, low-level use in crisis (N-bomb...)

~~- Reduce (and for) funds, force of PRES.~~

5. Fuego N-washed.

6. REMOVE MAIN NEED FOR 'FS' CAPABILITY. (on 2A).

64 Destroy most tree rues; and limit unilateral or bilateral  
destruction of most street rues! or to remain attached NPT.

7. Remove incentives (distortion; imitation, threat) to acquire ones, a



(in north direction):

Admission, Downwards

Torture: Alleg ; Human student ('53? 59?)  
Algeria?

(I guess: we discussed Yours:

Later, I read Alleg/Algeria ---

- Language of Torture: VN (refs)  
NCL, 1954 PP Seaborn  
(My escalation paper)

- Tokyo: Dresden;

(mimicry: Munich)

SC - doubling the danger without halving  
our original guilt (which, unlike CW,  
is not equally shared with SC)

Vs. LOYALTY TO (NUCLEAR) WEAPONS

Coercion: <sup>3)</sup> - NATO / N. bomb sent by ; Dollars '56  
"credibility" (Schell)

(b) VN - "torture." (May)

objectivity = neutrality as between  
diversity + equality, how much a not-  
risk cost

<sup>4</sup> "Humanist" [Leslie Lipson]: <sup>3)</sup> way of measures of (as "input")  
"effectiveness, power, strength, progress" "output" that  
are not directly related to human needs, properties,  
concerns "activity value system": "pol. science 'neutral'"

(b) esp. those that point away from human



OK street, heading

"Heintzler"

but why?

"Chen - 'explained'"

but Chen favors the proposed and

(see Dr White: you  
reloads

cravels in...

WWE "How did it happen? Ah, if we only knew."

(I know)

in Q.M. Hooks }  
Goodwin }

(Chen / person trigger deliberate selection  
of "proposed weapons" which were looking  
for an examiner [Pleicher; TG; RT...]  
and "would have 34A  
been used without one." presentation  
(Sallinger) 94-target list

Sallinger assumes there are no scruples.

But see his quote on Aug vs. SS;

(and think on S.S.

SAC: "Pleicher..." on UN

(very reaction

- With that same kind of  
concerns...

on N-bond attack Europe

"Which would you rather have as opponent: one with  
great 'scruples, honor,' who believes only way to in front is with  
street heading, & on beyond for 30 years to do it; OR..."



Lessons:

Don't go by what leaders say  
about what they have done  
will do  
want to do  
"why"

or assumption: US wouldn't do that unless  
they did it first (mues;

<sup>UK</sup>  
or: US did not attack indiscriminately...

or: US would do that

any as Hitler

any as Mengele / ritual

any to detain

any contemplation in writing

any as. ... ~~things~~

Japanese / orientals



OK - Bowling

In contrast to Germany, UK had,  
w/out bombing of cities:

- a larger, experienced + growing capability
- experienced for this, making profit  
for anything else

[like other violent means: less controllable,  
precise, discriminating, effective in conflict  
arena (every fighter + flank, every round...)  
as predicted]

- an agency (Service)
- powerful advocates, including
- a leader who had advocated since WWI
- a doctrine of effectiveness, legitimacy, necessity
- (an identity?) (see Doubt! w/out French  
US? or them?)

Moreover, by May 1940: - desperate

- need for "offensive"
- (brutal and) near-victorious <sup>every</sup>
- lack of alternatives.

No striking difference in scenarios between Hitler  
& Churchill, - two of fighting a war!

It did not take Hitler - or even brutality -  
to cause UK to use Bomb Command  
as envisioned in 1928! or 1940!

It was not done - to deter

see 57: did not require Rattenda!

("decision that, on violation of neutrality

to punish

"hit for hit"







"Paramour"

(York?)

"Worst case analysis  
from Eisenhower, Truman"

Hitler intimidated on street bombing (Sallenger?)

OK: "liberal" Targeting

"more relaxed guidelines"

"indiscriminate"

"hardly"  
not result of conscious  
planning

working of samples

more strategic at times

[What of Bomber Command strategy?]

OK

~~then~~ "program for restraint"

irregular elements: A-bomb

["Sherry"] of VSCP - in B+W thoughts: paper, etc.]

Hitler's escalation...

[Distinguish "cause" from justification -

Dec.

[N: memo to Moore, 1972

Conclusions?

Laura Peterson: Crash program for our allies  
means a striking resemblance to Fascism  
(nuclear buildup to dominate the world.)

- Nuclear weapons and "authority" in diplomacy.

"16 out of 25 years have an US theme

'48, 50 51 (52?) 53 54 54 (56 Aug) 58 61

62 64, (65?) 68 69 70 (71?) 72



## NATO Hoax / Policy

1. Definition of SC military attack as critical,  
schism, imminent problem, 1945-55...

2. As solution: - US power (independent: and for now!)

{ - human amount (scarcity: nuclear!)  
+ division: West Germany in oil, allies

Democracy  
member

{ - SAC FS

{ - EC with NATO (US, human) too was

General Post, wrote

delegation: (see Goldwater admission, 1964)

Europe, from 1955? on:

year of Seaton thinks!

for CS, after 1965...

(Three - independent detonations)

Disparate measures: though not in S-R, give  
monopoly; to same policy (including human point!)

Lead to strive for work of, or improving with,

H-bomb... and not in '50's, give SC acceptance of

inferiority (perhaps longer than Dallas - expected, in 1955...

[Hyp: USG human policy at center of NATO  
policy, CW - in Europe...

Blackwell / Kittling...

Also, in late '40's or early '50's (H-bomb: Test, Nov '52  
(Stalin still alive: see last Winter, by —)

they still looked to a SHOWDOWN, about 1954 — so

(like Nixon, in Dec '69, looking towards 1971-72)



policy, with its L-R risks, was only for S-R;  
then, Stalin's death permitted them to extend policy,  
without a risky showdown

(Don't 'forget from strength', when we have  
strength — or at least, "ask for too much"  
(esp. when SU, conscious of weakness is afraid to  
renounce it).



May 7, 79

Class:

(I am not trying to show, centrally, the horror of nuclear war, the effects, the catastrophe...

but the evidence of choice of action toward it, to some extent, aware / conscious / deliberate / responsible choice;

Regis

of attention (Strangelove)

for pros/cons:

interest (e.g. USAF/SAC

leaders & followers

coherent purpose, plan, logic (CW-  
FU/RS  
collaboration, coordination

("conspiracy" — an  
epitaph designed to  
protect the powerful  
from accountability  
and the policy / process /  
structure from Resistance.

not so as  
to punish;

I raise the  
issue of "crisis"  
to direct attention  
to actual responsibility,  
"culpability," to  
revel freedom,  
to enlarge freedom,  
and power — to  
change course,  
and to show how,  
where (why), change...

(Central agents, driving <sup>to state</sup> USG, and to which SC may be  
responding: 1) FU & FS threats; 2) earlier specificity;  
3) focus of interest (not, unless WWII... (not NATO, or defense of US).

NATO policy:

Thus, description about  
"minim SC threat")

Try to understand, like RV Simpson — the cause of war  
(as related to: the conduct of power)



# Apocalypse

Civilization as

Hith

see PP

Pollard Giant

Removal of restraints:

Hyp. "Civilization"

WWI - Siding -

- on killing of Others (Human)

Wallenstein:

Street Bore

- on Pollution / (Poisoning Wells)

in Berlin

[Hith's aggression

- Human Sacrifice - killing

and others

as my people

= 30

for a (abstract) Cause

Class

- destruction of Cities / "Economic" (WWII)

WWI / Sherman

as inevitable

result of

US response,

in defense of

freedom +

its own physical

survival,

to 50 that

to US and

and to

Europe + TW.

A

H

ICBM

(Cuba II as

epitome)

Papers: 3 papers

1 from each "side"

2 sides on A-bombs

H?

Contrast Street Bore

to ... Kohn?

and more?

Milgrim

Mumford

MacDonald

Merton

Reich

Mumford

Bureaucracy

State - (Human + Human)

Atom

Dead

or "Ref"

Cities released a potential

for human unlimited destruction, pain.

Davis: War

equivalent

State

slavery

militarism/war

That Powerful man are very different

from you and me.

(Yes - they have more money.) and

toughness;



"Savanna"?

(False? World Law - vs. Nationalism)  
vs. War / Peace

Ap. C

Danig

Bandwidth

Desire

Tanger

Ozledy

Wallenstein

How change?

Perceive US.

as (a) an influence

... to be changed

(b) "not better" than  
others!

(c) indeed, driving force

(Human rights;  
self. detem.  
nudes

Stark building:

Touch to the line

Polgo

Dresden

Chowei:

attitude uses

strategy

spoken

dis...

BPM

Ans Bildup

Presid

SU - China

April  
mily

ME

aps  
Nationalism

(and  
with  
uses)

Terracing

Reactor

Oil

Social facilities  
"altitude by"

"If or not a mt. On am ↓?" (N)

"Seeing preparing a catastrophe"

Why? How change?

(USG)  
"WHAT HAS KEPT WORLD FROM  
BLOWING UP - SO FAR?"

In "Schles: "pent self & need"

(Upon (Peter Rodman? Solomon?))

(a) "N/HAX was the war"

Local: (Now Ult?)

(b) Thus have been  
und-effecting

Oct '73: Israel

April '73

1971 - Kavelle - threat?

1972 - Spring Ultimatum - How  
Dis. building - (Abroad?)

Jan '73: Accord



usually left out

Prodie? WWK - JUDIS + US. ADW

DE - Nation

1) Kohl (vs. HAK) as "theorist" of US mil. policy

Shapiro + Mitter (Willbras) Alperin

- History of — MOTIVES of A-bomb
- 2) i.e. EU threats (or H?) NATO
  - 3) FS modern, threats
  - 4) (till '65) US superiority / SU weakness (1945-50; 50-65;)
  - (5) Villigier to intervene

Concepts / distinctions

- 1.) FS / Prevention War / aggression + actual cases
- 2. EU / show
- 3. { non-understand Non-use / use scenarios (different form of "moderns" model)
- 4. Threat / desc / strike
- 5. Targets
- (6) Effects / pattern of interaction
- 7) Enigma of his

New production: moving us from Hemisphere Densidy to Global Densidy

8) PMS' role (vs. BPM)

Society producing triggers, removing "safeties, control rods."

- 9) Other "enemies," salient scenarios
- the - Soviet (cf. WWI, w+ Huns)
- Com
- arms of Europe

Shield: Dispersed A-bomb

First threshold:

Cologne (not Rotterdam) County?

10) Difference between H-bombs

and atomic / HE / CBU / CBW / dikes --- (11) RMN STRATEGY IN VN.

Second: H-bomb (Doomsday)

Valor as the better

(A only a transition: "trigger") part of Prudner "though briefly misread = H) RE: N: A reads less defense to US, to other



## Evil

April 18, 1979

(Ayatollah vs. <sup>Fedayeen, Marxist</sup> Taleghani) (Cunningly - hiding: followers deserting)

In both cases, "religion" conferring  
self-respect by obedience  
sacrifice

observance of rules  
abstinence  
constraints

"Opposing 'Evil'"

(and giving fanaticism, belief,  
passion, religion - a bad name).

permitting/obliging violence in pursuit of Cause,  
obedience to Authority

(representing Spirit:  
God

or History, Modernisation  
Nation, justice

hinted concern: for the welfare of some, Other, Humans.

and/or, willingness to use (use up, expend)

problem: <sup>knowing</sup> the suffering of humans (or, the near-total loss of  
freedom, the conversion into nothing-but instruments,  
ignoring their personal needs, wants) as "means" to an "end,"  
whether

(i.e. in regarding them as "means," to omit <sup>reference</sup> to evaluate  
one's relation to them except in relation to the specified "ends.")  
or to consider their "rights," "wants," "needs," aims.



Why be enlightened?

Why be good? (Viola, or Narayan: <sup>JP</sup> ~~JD~~)  
Many don't answer.

— To make a song  
when it is easier  
to be good.

(Tool of Bodhisattva:

easier to be  
enlightened.

My door, is hot to:

Why be cheerful? (i.e. w/ support animal of life)

Because there is so much that is marvelous,  
wonderful, beautiful

Power that comes out of the barrel of a gun corrupts; and absolute  
weapons corrupt absolutely."

"Power ~~corrupts~~ corrupts — in America."  
<sup>encompasses</sup>

Power (that comes out of the barrel  
of a gun) corrupts  
The power of "absolute weapons"  
corrupts absolutely

Expands — its domain,  
intensity

Dominate other  
goals, aims, values:

esp. non-egoistic,  
non-selfish ones

Undermines "truth"

of unity, oneness,  
common humanity

Undermines loyalties to others —

(<sup>small: ~~public~~ is for of</sup>  
inward truth)  
"Offer thy coin's reform."  
(Not because it's so beneficent;  
but because it's <sup>un</sup>corrupted by ~~truth~~.)

"You as Convincers" (Equalizer?)

use reduced

including "and" for

persuasion, comp, mutual aid,  
convincing, sharing of life, truth, <sup>other</sup>

Undermines loyalties to others —

(as it <sup>undermines</sup> ~~undermines~~ <sup>outlines</sup> form systems  
Dependence, Mutual, kind



April 20, 79

Power "corrupts"

i.e. subverts, acts against, leads to a compromising  
(a reduction in favor of private interest) ("under self-  
control" Michael: Field  
of loyalty to, identification with, support of, recognition  
of others' rights, rightful expectations & demands, one's obligations

- to life, humanity
- to constraints of conscience, role
- (now narrowly: to one's "constituent,"  
those one "represents,"  
implicit obligation  
to official duties - and constraints, limits  
commands.

Preparation for moral failure, moral disaster:

VAN WENNER  
VN AS FAILURE  
OF MORAL  
SYSTEM

(2) concentration of "absolute power"

in leader's hands;

in org/states to which followers "belong"

(power over followers;

and w/out rival orgs)

alleged, "misshel-unshel")

(Though, it may be, fear of others, of their power,  
fear of imminent loss of power, loss of power (Nixon)  
that justifies country (the corruption of) power, that  
release all constraints on obedience

and on the exercise of power.

(b) Secrecy, compartmentalized knowledge: of others

"the secret" (key, gimmick, itself secret)

and of Prospect, Doing

of arbitrary power (= bureaucratic power: "functional")

(can be used for undermining, undermining:

paralyzes human cooperation, restraint.)

Manford,  
Porter of  
Power, on  
"secrecy"



25.5h - 06

Counter:

Student Movement (Muford 372)

(living as if post-catastrophe)

revels against power-centered "civilization"

5000 years overdue

Resistance to, liberation from

Racism

Sexism

Imperialism Draft

"Unavoidable" "Progress"

Environmental Destruction / Nuclear Energy

Lost yet: vs. War Nuclear Weapons

Violence ("Revolution")

State

Muford 372: 377

Awaken from (student) to our actual condition:

led by Adams, toward progress to omnibition  
(thorough, civil...civil)

Who really threatens us knows? (Official Terrorists)

(Attacks American "exceptionalism/altruism"

official goals; exemption from constraints of power...

so as to learn from all experience  
19th- of 20th Century (including our own real experience)  
to see where we are heading.

Projects of extermination

Goals that have exploded in the real:

efficiency = genocide.



## Class

What will happen if USG changes its trend, pressure, to move toward abolition? "Will SU follow?" "Wf would they?"

What are the real differences in character, tendency, ~~the~~ at work, between:

a) US and SU

(Human rights expansion  
Violence/militarism  
enemies  
strategic bombing  
AF

SO, others,  
facing all  
less needed  
than USG to  
start bombing  
(<sup>with</sup> domination),  
and now yield  
of resources.

MNGs

b) US and other countries

[Note slowness of other  
countries — like SU, in 45-65 — to  
initiate US nuclear weapons devel.

Bussell: They asked US-SU disarm. as price of Abolition NPT:

price will not be met, so...

c) USG + US policy

(These differences have reduced the risks, till now (2, b)  
of USG policies.

USG as "pursuer" of

- nuclear energy
- nuclear weapons
- other advanced weapons
- strat. bombing / terrorism
- FU (see Billig Theses!)

(as "guarantor"/mortgage-assurer  
of "Imperial world pre-WWII"):

Since Turkey; VN, Indon.  
East of Indon...

V



Hyp: One can learn from (true, recent) US  
behavior about future behavior of  
- new, or very strong soe. states  
(VN, China...)  
- new nuclear states  
- SU, if released from inferiority  
- SU, if truly superior  
- US - if it begins spinning again

just as one (could have learned from  
typical behavior  
and even, Hitler (as well as Churchill))

to predict US postwar behavior;  
and Soviet (esp. East Europe).

(One cannot predict future US behavior -  
or <sup>understand</sup> ~~the~~ part, or present - from "official version of  
US motives or actions, or publicly-known path of US behavior).

Question is not: Which one power (or Party)  
is cause of all trouble - before WWII  
or after WWII

or, "started," or "main" responsible/culpable  
(meaningful, but not helpful)



Schles on Thun Mile Island

"So far, so good."

What kept us from exploding?

Mostly changed!

(not: resistance with bureaucracy: or leaders' abilities)

- US "Monopoly" — spring — SU weakness (nuclear, air)

- Nationalist lack of nuclear (in intra-regional disputes  
independence struggles)

- US public / O

- Allied bases (SU threat of retal. vs. Europe)

- Weakness of most <sup>US</sup> (non-NLF) adversaries...

Look at  
Deng!  
VN!

- No other sources of our weapons  
(in - South Africa? ...)

(Khomeini?!)  
Israel / PLO

(Us, under our detained power; Arms as basis of stability <sup>JAPAN</sup> <sup>S.A.</sup> (Rust!))

US PICTURE OF (SOV) THREAT TO PEACE WAS REAL IF  
APPLIED TO US (OUTSIDE EAST EUROPE).

[ "We are no longer the only or main threat to  
survival . To understand new problems — role of  
the then CPR admits! — look at how we behaved  
when we were — or thought we would/could be —  
the only "threat", a monopoly of power). ]

SU  
Deng  
Japan  
SA, Israel, etc.  
"vaccines"



6/25/79

THIS IS A PRELIMINARY DRAFT; ANY  
COMMENTS AND CRITICISMS ARE WELCOME!

SUMMER ADDRESS  
(UNTIL SEPT, 1979)

- 924 17<sup>th</sup> ST, APT. "E"  
SANTA MONICA, CAL  
90403

213-393-4369

DEPT. OF SOCIAL SCIENCES  
RAND CORP.

1700 MAIN ST.

SANTA MONICA, CAL 90406

213-393-0411

PLEASE FORGIVE THE INFERIOR XEROX.

I HOPE I WILL BE ABLE TO MEET WITH YOU  
LATER THIS SUMMER.

THANKS,

JED SNYDER







(Denver)  
Doonady "Machine" (see Stalder Machine)

1. HK Type I Det.

2. 2009 / GEOP Alternative / JSCP (no new LW: /  
USAF "Doctrine" / SIOF ong FS

(vs. Walling, Lydon, Brodie)

see "Preamble", in light of SU lack of intel. power

"Hemispheric Doonady." SU Doonady  
Europe "

3. Teller, Wood, ... on "Will all life be destroyed?"

4. David Hawkins on Teller on atmospheric destruction:  
"There are worse things."

5. N.P. Davis: Compton, Oppenheimer, Teller, a host  
Fermi: Allison, Conant, Rabi  
Oppenheimer: "I am ... Death"

GAC:

6. York: "Evil weapon in unlimited." Teller-Ullman  
- "OK" - all work on it Oppen, SI? "Tech. summit"

7 (above): Myford: (Howard Morland)

Lack of limits.

= In God (Godson + Godson)

? Godson as Volcano God... (Fremel?)  
Fremel?

8. Teller on effects of <sup>coercive</sup> power on leaders  
(intoxication - exposure of ego, distancing  
from the mass - freedom from limits)

9. Quotes, Martin Landis on his; in pocket  
rule the world (Weapons Heavy?)

10. Hemispheric - Prejudice (natural catastrophe) — PACIFIC GIANT



These cannot be understood as: 1. Altruistic Crusade  
(Thuman Doctrine, Marshall Plan, WWII). Berlin

2. Compelled (human, non-accidental) by realists, assembling human concern  
(Hiroshima)  
Cuba II? Berlin

Value: the only weapon we have left...  
(The data is correct was not meant, by me, to be fitted into existing BAM/Paranoia (Yours, Dalgard) framework.)

To 'understand' SIOP, arms budget, <sup>not</sup> that  
[in absence of validity of the 'compelled' threat, signs interpretation of SO behavior: = With)

Understand: Stalin

Napoleon  
White Phosphorus  
CBU

"Not" war means;

Hitler's threats

Holocaust

like what we did

Strat. Bowling

(+WS) = Chile (+ Bolivia?)

A-Bomb

compared to VN:

Conc. camps  
(Boers;

genocide\* (Jews; Armenians)  
slavery\* IW

murdering leaders who

would do anything (with our blunt chisel)

torture\*

terrorism\* (esp. gov.)

NOT ONLY IN WARTIME:

Rolling Thunder; dikes; rivers

ASSASS. (Castro, Kennedy, SCHNEIDER, Khrushchev, King, ...)

NOT ONLY TO FOREIGN ENEMIES

WWI

(NOT ONLY TO 'ENEMY' OR MILITARY)

Gas

Stalin: "Eisen"

(see, e.g., history of dangers of nuclear radiation)

INQUISITION  
JONESTOWN  
SCAVE TRADE

(cf. Black  
Death.  
The Plague)

Conscience

Evil

EXPLANATION

EMPIRE  
Megalomania + Fellowship  
Megalomania  
POWER (+ A/H/Bear.)

\* CHILE; INDONESIA

Brazil, Guatemala, Iran, South Korea

Hungary, Czech

CAMBODIA

Algeria

French shelling of Haiphong, 1946)  
(censored, 1971)

UGANDA

South Africa

Judea/Palestine

NUCLEAR POLLUTION (+ other)

Ordinary imperialism; aggression:

Israel/Egypt/Palestine;

BR VN/Cambodia/Caribbean '79 } semi-"justified"

Tanzania/Uganda

VN = police state (?)

Polio?

East Europe today

From  
Anast?

(1984)

Boj.

Kremlin  
James

Schneider  
a new

MILGRIM



April 16, 1979 on Dr. Strangelove lecture

Olga Carlisle calls: heard my lecture Friday night Boulder, April 13, and exchange with Gen. Daniel Graham and Col. Sleeper (maybe I had "joined the Soviets"). "Weren't they out of Dr. Strangelove?" (I'm preparing lecture on Strangelove tomorrow).

At party night of April 13, Graham had said to Kemp of WILPF, on Soviets: I'd like to kill them all" ("rather than see my son have to live under them"). Of Ellsberg: "If I had the power, I'd put him in jail."

If he had the power, jail might be the safest place to be--in a deep, lead-lined cell. All my friends would be inside: a better class of people in than out.

April 15: 1972: B-52 bombing of Haiphong begins; Cora Weiss calls me, during party celebrating finishi-g my book, I set up speech on steps of Capitol for May 3.

B-52s: recall my reaction when they were first ~~xxxx~~ requested by Westmoreland, with approval of Taylor, in spring 1965.

And see assurances, controls, on safety: 3 B-52s destroyed. Jeffers: these people will do anything.

RAND: stop the world, to prevent ICBMs from hitting their counterforce targets.

Jobhua: stopped the sun, to finish a slaughter.

See risk of burning the atmosphere: remaining chance that (some consideration had been totally ignored, or was yet unknown: David Hawkins--in the new field of nucleaar physics. Anders: height of fireball Groves" willingness to risk bad winds at Trinity (urgency of gesting during Potsdam, and before August 6).

Truaman Admin ignoring estimates of fallout problem from tests.

Wind change at St. George.

Whether to evacuate at Harrisburg; explosion out of human control, depending on behavior of gas bubble, itself unforeseen; if gamble failed, area uninhabitable "forever" (out of agriculture for 500 years: Falkner. Evacuees could not return in their lifetimes.)

SEE HARRISBURG AS A WARNING OF THE POSSIBLE FAILURES IN NUCLEAR WEAPONS SYSTEMS--INCLUDING FAILURE OF THE "FAIL-SAFE SYSTEMS" WHICH CANNOT BE ALLOWED TO FAIL EVEN ONCE.

Satellites: Ike's comment:

"It's not going to fall on their heads." See SWS satellite!

WHAT ABOUT SU NUCLEAR WASTE ACCIDENT? ISN'T IT TIME FOR US TO TELL US WHAT IT KNOWS ABOUT THAT? WHAT OF SUB REACTOR BREAKDOWNS?



Pres. Bush in approach addresses imperial, "econ," class, ...  
"needs" Cost for physical defense or survival of preserving  
the on status quo at home & abroad.

at price of increasing risk of small, &  
of large nuclear war - started by us -  
and risk of prolifer & use vs. us.



17 May 79

Brzezinski: ...

capitalist rivalry

(not, so "dynamic", new, expanding...)

- see CW as a "game" - relating <sup>aims, values; players</sup> ~~signature~~, or ~~compactly~~

acknowledges (post-VN, ~~what?~~)  
interaction process; spiritual; (not, "evil vs. good")

BPM, agencies, action - states  
(not, "crusade")

- mutual responses ... anticipations;  
- interaction of domestic + int. aims (+ allies)

- Yes: the game of (mil.) Power, Coercion ... Exacerbated  
Imperialism (end of Great War: CIA/KGB Copland,

- Yes (Model): the game of Duopoly.

(BPM aspects: each side is a "team" or coalition  
of competing elements: secondary effects, including of a substitute complete analysis.)

- But: see implications of game played with A/H bombs  
instead of, confront to, mutual effort to avert  
buildup & spread of A/H weapons (or to help TW)

(or, pollution / expansion / depriving w/o oil, resources)

[e.g., total and not ...]

Also: and to understand US player better:

- as Empire

- as "tough" (Pres; SAC; CIA; methods: FU/FS; nature of satellites; mafia;

- as being uniqued experience (with UK) of  
city building, (smaller land any...); and  
aerospace MIC.

- non competition at home (less democratic)  
secret, disruption, closed politics (unwanted)

than potential or realized. (his, being "complex")  
- relation to Human industrial / military / rightist leaders  
(+ Japanese! Italian! French?!)

- as consciously stronger than SU: 1945-46; 1950-67...

- as having SPECIFIC AIMS FOR CHANGING, OR PRESERVING,  
CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE "STATUS QUO": NAMELY...

-(HYP: USG) PRETENSE OR CONCERN FOR THE  
INTERNAL DEMOCRACY, PER SE (I.E. AS DISTINCT  
FROM "FREEDOM FOR US BUSINESS TO MAX. PROFITS")  
IN OTHER COUNTRIES, IS ALWAYS A HOAX. (can = Europe)

(American leaders are mostly "liberal" w/o pol. friends  
for American "middle-class" and, since ~1900? American workers  
(not poor, immigrants)

esp. sin  
prohib

to 1985:  
+ China  
with 2000  
million  
unemployed  
(helped  
by US!)

like SU "protecting  
socialism" by invading  
Czechoslovakia

its political  
unpleasant spreads  
will for US believe -  
though some of beliefs  
to does not.



(Power concepts) → leader's grandstanding  
 → follower's unquestioning belief, actor  
 deformed  
 Rose. Diamond

Wakening of human solidarity, empathy, concern

with "distance" : wealth  
 power  
 states; isolation  
 (Russett - C+C for  
 satellite (helicopters  
 at my feet)  
 (Engels: rich from poor  
 neighborhoods)  
 which prompts feelings  
 of empathy, solidarity  
 (unreal fictionalized  
 as in "Derbunter")  
 — visibility  
 pre-TV rip-off was  
 illiteracy

"NAK PARADOX": (vs. YORK - LESS SECURE, WITH H-BOMBS  
 DE: HOW COULD WE?)

WHAT PREVENTED BOMBING FROM BEING AS POWERFUL  
 (IN THREAT-STRATEGIES) AS THE "ABSOLUTE" STATUS PROMISED  
 (COMPARED TO HE) WAS BEEN THE PUBLIC'S FEELINGS  
 OF HUMAN SOLIDARITY,

(NAK: CACK  
 OF WILL  
 BY READERS;  
 AND (WRONG)  
 "DOCTRINE"

(PLANS, COMMITMENT,  
 PR: actually, they  
 had HAK's plan,  
 but shrank from trying to sell public:  
 limited us to  
 recent threats,  
 mostly in  
 extremes.

This has reduced credibility  
 + power of threats; (and has  
 kept Democracy Model  
 WOMEN, CHILDREN from exploding.)  
 ART, CHURCHES  
 ENVIRONMENT  
 (not with workers! (vs. Marx) or soldiers, per se).  
 AND WITH FUTURE GENERATIONS  
 and our "other countries" (as well as ourselves and

our's countryfolk) threatened with retal. or with initiation of preempt!

(leaders - HAK - do not totally lack such feelings,  
 but uphold S-R control, at "acceptable point"  
 of a threat/"preparation" involving a risk of  
 (the hope, and wisely expect) will be small use  
 of nuclear, as <sup>(unful)</sup> demonstration; and a smaller,  
 negotiable risk of nuclear devastation: to

Action: or  
 public will  
 accept small,  
 successful,  
 nuclear  
 first step.

creates a power, structure from which the dispropor-  
 tionately benefit, and with which the disprop. identifies  
 their being. (and, "natural state / will")



Story of A-bomb

DE in Sept '69: King / Gandhi; <sup>Park /</sup> <sup>Palo Alto / Bay</sup> Killer; Institutional  
Dissemination

No to lies; to obedient witness. Responsibility.

N Universal:

Soly:  
Janson

on ~~why~~ word of truth can move the world?

SECRETS: { REASONS: NOT...GOOD, NOVE, Thin  
(1) Lies, secrets, aim of Man of Power; Means:  
(2) Dark potential of human (no want to Power:  
Power, Order Obdience, selective Indifference

MORATORIUM '69 / '73-75

(3) Another side - to public: and public power.

Meaning of RFTF / Trial; of UC Commission: Robert?

We as a people can ...

most...

PROGRAM: NEU NFS

th NO to ...

Abolition as goal; peaceful world  
King, Slavery, Terror: NUCS when conflict + injustice do  
not →

~~What is it?~~  
US - 1st Amendment / Religion / Cults of Leadership /  
Foundations

Meeting - here - where else? when? now:  
Bailey



DE/Class

Unilateral decision?

Us. - unilateral initiatives increasing

Radical?

- reduction } change in <sup>US</sup> policy → in world  
- NFO } environment

"Cap. as the problem"?

US as only problem?

Liberal? (degree of status

geo, including lib. proceedings)  
+ class, cap.,

Absolute pacifist? States, infra., war.

[But note:

prelim. attitude  
of US to strat. bombing

+ UK

(UK in India  
France in Algeria)

BPM / SAC!

Pattern of nuc/bombing

See VN - can

coercion goes across

with values!

war, incidents (Taiwan, Berlin,

Korea!

Cuba...) - not just VN.

An instrument - esp. in "crisis"; "defense of US/Allied  
troops".

See inhibitions: nature of weapons,

esp. opponents'...

Unless have not been so inhibited by "the  
threshold, the diffusion - as public(s).

And enemies find our threats credible. (see Mai Van Bo)

"The present is always the worst  
time to invest - in the past there  
were no uncertainties." Gerald Rusk

see: disarmament

losing VN

(prevention war!) - as Strategic  
Escalation



(Shulman)

Hyp: Officials (people locked in conflict paradigm:  
paranoids)

betray/reveal their secret desires, beliefs,  
plans, preparation, in their conjectures / imaginations /  
(projections) w/out enough threats, intention.

i.e. Projection reflects & reveals precisely  
"what is to be hidden" about oneself, one's  
"hidden self, secret self"



One me is not "the end."

But—things probably get worse, not better.

Not of wars; police states;

"Salute to prolif. world: Be Bigger, Be #1."



## Question for Audience:

1. Is "evil" something an American Pres/Gen  
might do?  
have done?

Is "crime" — ?

("atrocity" suggests

"out of control, unpredictable")

"aggression"

are these categories that could apply to Americans?

["imperialism" ... ?]

"lie"?

torture

murder

genocide

enslave

tyranny

MIGHT THE USG "LACK THE RIGHT" TO DO CERTAIN  
THINGS? (TO INTERVENE, USE MEANS...)

2. What form might this take?

What is threshold?

3. What should one/you do?

Secrets that should not be kept?

4. Are there orders of "capital authority"

that should not be obeyed?

(On what grounds? President? Air? Mass?)

... That you would not obey?



Note limit of concept of "crisis," and  
even narrower limit to what, procedurally,  
may lead to a "conviction," in case of  
national behavior: esp., one's own,  
the perpetrator  
of recent election  
expectation (May '79)...  
undisputed.  
(Both Lewis "out").

NC: US non-expectation:

RC

ideology

RC control of foreign policy  
in spirit of special  
interest

RC use of means: —

Expire —

Density of exposure of common,  
with the force.

5. Might it not be approp. to feel national  
shame; to apologize, offer compensation;  
dismiss or punish leadership, or bias, <sup>official</sup> ~~unofficial~~ <sup>unofficial</sup> ~~unofficial~~?



Karen Hermassi, Polity and Theatre in Historical Perspective

Jane Ellen Harrison "begins by asking why a group of people should try to represent itself through collective or individual action or acting. She discovers at first a psychological impetus to amend the memory of fruitless past action by making sense of it in the structure of a plot or story; and soon this is translated into the need for a new birth or new beginning on the level of the whole group or society in the reenacting of past action. (33)

34. As Hannah Arendt has noted, the Greeks believed that "men, though they must die, are not born in order to die but in order to begin." (The Human Condition, p. 222) This reenactment or "representation", as it was first understood, was intended to prove that "such and such really did happen." (J.E. Harrison, Themis, p. 44, emph. added). The group could believe in itself--that it had acted--and take responsibility for its identity and meaning as a whole, and in this way could begin a new life.

...35

The Athenian who, before Aeschylus, underscores this idea of the polity as a natural home for man is Solon. It was he who first urged the acceptance of the collective responsibility for the acts of the city. There would be no blame cast upon the gods for what was the rightful jurisdiction of human law and reason....With the innovations of Solon in the sixth century, and as the community and political order are recognized as primary and natural, order becomes simultaneously problematic. Thoughtful citizens begin to accuse their own political arrangements of injustice. They grow all at once impatient with social and economic inequities they had endured for centuries. [The awareness that some men will value life because of their social conditions while others will long for death to end the miseries of their existence produced, in Greek tragedy, a sorrow that was as much political as existential: a sorrow that an environment humanly conceived can narrow and foreclose human chances for purpose and meaning in life as much, or more, than the arbitrary gods or the devastations of earthquakes.]

...There persisted the haunting presence of a human ~~xxxx~~ world in which no just order seemed possible, but in which the vision of order (the polis) was as real as the chaos (the Erinyes of Aeschylean drama) that overtakes it. Tragedy draws its vision for the possibilities of order that no dot materialize.

/Solon even denied Athenians a Spartan dependence upon the military in political matters. Only the people in collective contemplation and judgment could arrive at social justice.